



1  
2  
3  
4  
5

**PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM**  
**Water Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes**  
Virtual Meeting – Microsoft Teams  
October 24, 2023

<b>PRRIP Water Advisory Committee Meeting Attendees</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Member or Alternate</b>
<b>Department of the Interior</b>		
Brock Merrill	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation	Member
Mark Porath	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	Alternate
<b>State of Wyoming</b>		
George Moser	Wyoming Water Development Office	Alternate
Michelle Hubbard	Wyoming State Engineer's Office	
<b>State of Colorado</b>		
Kara Scheel	Colorado Water Conservation Board	Member
<b>State of Nebraska</b>		
Jennifer Schellpeper	Nebraska Department of Natural Resources (NDNR)	Member
Kari Burgert	NDNR	Alternate
Justin Ahern	NDNR	
Caitlin Kingsley	NDNR	
<b>Upper Platte Water Users</b>		
n/a		
<b>Colorado Water Users</b>		
Jon Altenhofen	Northern Water	Member
Kyle Whitaker	Northern Water	Member
Joe Frank	Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District	Alternate
Jason Marks	Denver Water	
Kevin Urie		
<b>Downstream Water Users</b>		
Cory Steinke	Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District (CNPPID) – 2023 WAC Chair	Member
Brandi Flyr	Central Platte Natural Resources District (CPNRD)	Member
Jeff Shafer	Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD)	Member
Nolan Little	Tri-Basin Natural Resources District (TBNRD)	
Tyler Thulin	CNPPID	
<b>Environmental Entities</b>		
Jacob Fritton	The Nature Conservancy	Member
Melissa Mosier	Audubon Great Plains	Member



PRRIP Water Advisory Committee Meeting Attendees	
<b>Executive Director’s Office (EDO)</b>	
Jason Farnsworth	Executive Director
Seth Turner	Water Plan Coordinator
Justin Brei	Engineering/Colorado Coordinator
Libby Casavant	Hydraulic Engineer
Kristen Cognac	Hydrogeologist
Sarah Fancher	Fluvial Geomorphologist
Ed Weschler	Water Resources Engineer
<b>Other Participants</b>	
Michelle Martin	Anderson Consulting Engineers
Matt McConville	HDR
Mark Mitisek	LRE Water
Jonathan Mohr	LRE Water

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Welcome and Administrative:** *Cory Steinke, 2023 WAC Chair*

Meeting participants were identified from Microsoft Teams. Altenhofen requested a Perkins County Canal update discussion, which Steinke said could be brought up at the end of the meeting under Additional Business. There were no revisions to the original draft of the August 2023 WAC meeting minutes. Altenhofen made a motion to approve the minutes, second by Merrill. No objections, minutes approved.

**Brief Water Updates:** *Ed Weschler and Seth Turner, EDO*

**Platte Basin Hydrology:**

Weschler reported that flows at Grand Island were below targets for an extended period in August and September but there was a brief period of excess flows in late September. Much of the Platte River basin—including the South Platte in Colorado, the North Platte in Wyoming, and the Nebraska Panhandle—remains drought-free. Parts of the basin in Nebraska remain in varying levels of drought, ranging from abnormally dry in Lincoln County and the area around Lake McConaughy to extreme/exceptional drought at the easternmost extent of the Program’s Associated Habitat Reach. Parts of the North Platte Basin in Wyoming received 100%-300% of normal precipitation from July 22-October 19, while precipitation was at or below normal across much of the South Platte Basin in Colorado during the same time period. Precipitation in central Nebraska was near-normal and drier to the east and west.

**Leasing, Recharge, and Recapture Projects:**

Turner reported that aggregate pumping by the Program’s 8 recapture wells from the start of the year through October 18 was 2,635 AF. Most of the wells had been pumping continuously since July 27. Excess flows were diverted into Phelps County Canal from September 26-28, and about 553 AF was then delivered to Cottonwood Ranch from September 27-October 5. The amount released from the Pathfinder EA in September was 32,385 AF, of which 26,491 AF was credited to the Lake McConaughy EA after accounting for transit losses. Leases and other surface water



35 credited to the Lake McConaughy EA in October included CPNRD (10,666 AF), NPPD (2,456  
36 AF), the CNPPID irrigator lease (990 AF), and No-Cost NCCW (314 AF). CPNRD and NPPD  
37 lease volumes were reduced relative to previous years because there were 18 non-exchange days  
38 on which the South Platte River at North Platte flow exceeded 2,500 cfs.

39  
40 Shafer asked if there was consideration of turning off the recapture wells in anticipation of  
41 forecast cold weather the next week; Turner said not yet, but he would look into it. Shafer also  
42 noted that due to CNPPID's planned releases to lower Johnson Lake, there was potential for  
43 excess flows in the coming week. However, NPPD did not plan to divert because of expected  
44 freezing conditions coinciding with those higher flows. Turner added that the Program did not  
45 plan to request diversion of any potential excess flows because CNPPID's planned flow release  
46 from October 26-November 6 was effectively substituting for a fall whooping crane release from  
47 the Lake McConaughy EA.

48  
49 **North Platte Chokepoint Study:** *Michelle Martin, Anderson Consulting Engineers*  
50 Martin provided a progress update for the chokepoint study. The project team led by Anderson  
51 Consulting Engineers is currently working on Task Order #2, which includes field work, data  
52 collection, and a geomorphic assessment. Martin and Brian Murphy (River Works) visited the  
53 chokepoint in mid-October, including a meeting with CNPPID at the Tri-County Canal  
54 diversion. They floated a 9-mile reach upstream of the Hwy 30 bridge and collected sediment  
55 samples to inform the sediment transport modeling and geomorphic assessment. Martin and  
56 Murphy also visited areas of the North Platte River reach upstream of the chokepoint where  
57 access was possible, including Birdwood Creek. Local firm TC Engineering completed  
58 surveying of 50 river cross sections to support the Anderson team's modeling efforts.

59  
60 The project team is concurrently working on updating the existing conditions model for a 10-  
61 mile reach of the North Platte River through the chokepoint using SRH-2D. The geomorphic  
62 assessment is also underway, and this second phase of the study is expected to wrap up in  
63 January.

64  
65 Altenhofen asked about the timeline for the alternatives analysis. Martin said they are  
66 developing information for the proposed new alternatives and bringing all of the short-listed  
67 alternatives to an equal level of information in advance of the more detailed alternatives analysis  
68 that will come in the next phase of the study. That should lead to a refined selection of  
69 alternatives for detailed analysis by January, and the study will conclude by late May or early  
70 June 2024. Turner added that the short list of alternatives was developed by the project team and  
71 the EDO over the summer and reviewed with the North Platte Chokepoint Planning Workgroup  
72 in an August 28 meeting.

73  
74 **Expanded Recapture Reconnaissance Study:** *Jonathan Mohr and Mark Mitisek, LRE Water*  
75 Mohr and Mitisek gave a presentation that introduced the project team, provided an overview of  
76 major tasks, and reviewed the project schedule. The team is led by LRE Water and includes RJH  
77 and Inter-Fluve. Mohr is the overall project manager, and Mitisek is the technical lead. RJH is



78 focused on the Elwood Reservoir outlet component of the study, and Inter-Fluve is responsible  
79 for the Plum Creek assessment.

80  
81 There was a site visit on September 19-20 that included participants from LRE Water, TBNRD,  
82 CNPPID, Nebraska DNR, and the EDO. The group visited the Program’s existing recapture  
83 wells at Cottonwood Ranch, Elwood Reservoir, the E-65 Canal, and sites along Plum Creek.

84  
85 Inter-Fluve is planning Plum Creek field work for November 7-9; TBNRD has been leading the  
86 effort to get access permission from landowners. Inter-Fluve will be surveying cross-sections  
87 and taking photos/making observations of sediment, vegetation, geomorphology, and  
88 infrastructure. Two temporary stage monitoring stations are also to be installed. RJH will begin  
89 work on the gravity outlet assessment in November.

90  
91 LRE Water is concurrently working on baseflow separation and transit loss analyses of Plum  
92 Creek. Mitisek presented preliminary results from those analyses, which show a pronounced  
93 baseflow increase following the construction of Elwood Reservoir in the late 1970s; Plum Creek  
94 also appears to be a gaining stream below Elwood. Altenhofen asked if the gains were from  
95 point inflows or coming from the aquifer. Mitisek said there were few significant tributary  
96 inflows, so the gains appear to be primarily coming from Elwood seepage.

97  
98 Later efforts will include the hydrogeologic assessment, which will include a review of  
99 construction details from existing recapture wells to help establish aquifer parameters and a GIS-  
100 based site selection of potential new recapture well locations. Following the November field  
101 work, the Plum Creek watershed/stream assessment will be completed in December-January, and  
102 an alternatives assessment using the GoldSim model will be completed between January and  
103 April. The project is scheduled to conclude by August 2024.

104  
105 **Wyoming Property Flow Split:** *Libby Casavant, EDO*

106 Casavant gave a presentation to introduce the Wyoming property flow split project, an effort to  
107 close a breach between channels that is effectively sending water away from whooping crane  
108 habitat along the south channel and in the Rowe Sanctuary area. The Wyoming Property is  
109 Program land in the Platte River channel a few miles east of Kearney. Several years ago, a  
110 narrow strip of land between the north and middle river channels washed out. Since then, water  
111 has preferentially flowed into the north channel—which is not suitable whooping crane habitat—  
112 because it has a lower bed elevation. The goal of the project is to use a combination of trees with  
113 root wads and fill material sourced from the nearby areas to construct a low trapezoidal berm to  
114 restore the channel separation and restore flows to whooping crane habitat on the middle and  
115 south channels. A wetland delineation was already completed by HDR (the Program’s  
116 permitting consultant), a permit application is expected to be submitted to the Corps in  
117 November, and construction is planned to be completed by March 2024, prior to the spring  
118 whooping crane migration. Construction is expected to cost about \$70,000.

119



120 Scheel asked if this was the first time the WAC has discussed this project. Turner said  
121 permitting activities have been going on for a few months but this is the first time presenting to  
122 the WAC. Scheel asked if the magnitude of “less flow” to the south channel has been assessed.  
123 Casavant said no, but it could be. Scheel also asked if Rowe Sanctuary was on board with this  
124 plan. Mosier from Audubon confirmed Rowe’s support since it would provide more flow  
125 through the reach, but noted Cody Wagner and Amanda Hegg from Rowe would have more  
126 information.

127  
128 Altenhofen asked how long it had taken for the north and middle channels to merge. Casavant  
129 said it occurred sometime between the 2010 and 2022 imagery that was shown; Mosier said it  
130 might have been during the 2019 high flows. Farnsworth said it was partially broken through  
131 before that and reiterated the key issue that the north channel is 0.5-1 ft lower than the middle  
132 and south channels, and whenever there are high flows, more goes north.

133  
134 Scheel asked about design flows for the berm. Casavant said at 5 ft high it would be overtopped  
135 by a 50-year flow; the elevation also matches the adjacent islands. Farnsworth added that the  
136 berm would not completely cut off the north channel and that a nationwide permit is being  
137 pursued for the project. Farnsworth emphasized that the north channel is not suitable habitat for  
138 whooping cranes, so getting more flow back into the middle and south channels is a major  
139 benefit in that regard. Altenhofen asked if there were other Program properties along the north  
140 channel. Yes, including the Spiedell property, but from a Program perspective it is preferred to  
141 keep as much flow directed south as possible.

142  
143 Urie asked if it made sense to look upstream where the middle channel splits from the south  
144 channel. Casavant said the project location on the Wyoming property was selected because the  
145 Program owns the land. Farnsworth said it might be possible to mitigate future issues like this  
146 by modifying vegetation spraying. Ahern noted a concern about sediment and channel stability  
147 because the breach is on an outside bend in both the north and middle channels. Casavant said  
148 we are attempting to attenuate the scour with tree root wads. There is no intention of choking off  
149 the north channel, just restoring the channel separation that was previously there.

150  
151 **2024 Water Plan Budget:** *Seth Turner, EDO*

152 Turner reviewed the water-related budget line items for 2024. Excess flow diversions into  
153 Phelps County Canal and Elwood Reservoir for recharge were pre-paid at least through 2032  
154 under the Water Service Agreement between the Program and CNPPID that was approved in  
155 December 2022, so no new funds are needed. The CPNRD canals have not diverted excess  
156 flows for Program recharge since May 2020, so no funds are allocated for 2024. The only new  
157 funding for canal recharge (WPRT-1) is for the NPPD canals, up to 5,000 AF at \$36.99/AF.

158  
159 Item WPRT-3 for Cottonwood Ranch broad-scale recharge totals \$208,000 for 2024 and  
160 includes funds for maintenance of the Rubicon gates, as-needed maintenance of the berms, and  
161 groundwater monitoring equipment. The total also includes \$20,000 for engineering and  
162 \$100,000 for construction to resolve cavitation issues in the delivery pipeline outlets.



163 WPRT-4 funding covers all project operation and maintenance costs under an agreement with  
164 Tr-Basin NRD, including property easements and insurance, remote operation service  
165 subscriptions, horsepower and energy costs, as-needed general maintenance, and Tri-Basin NRD  
166 staff time and expenses.

167  
168 Around \$25 million was budgeted under WPST-1 in 2023 for long-term surface water lease  
169 agreements through the end of the Extension. Negotiations for those agreements continue, so the  
170 GC approved one-year agreements in 2023 for CPNRD (up to 15,000 AF) and NPPD (up to  
171 3,306 AF) leases at a unit cost of \$90/AF. One-year lease agreements with the same terms are  
172 assumed again for 2024, resulting in a total budget of \$1,648,000 for WPST-1 in 2024.

173  
174 WPST-2 includes budget for 9,600 AF from the Pathfinder Municipal Account lease at \$65/AF.  
175 The CNPPID irrigator lease project was extended for one year through the 2024 irrigation  
176 season, with WPIR-1 assuming enrollment up to 3,000 acres at \$100/acre, plus a \$10,000  
177 administration fee that is paid to CNPPID.

178  
179 Additional budget items include \$21,000 for general maintenance and property taxes at Program  
180 lands that were acquired for water project purposes; \$42,000 for water monitoring equipment and  
181 activities, which includes \$20,000 for Platte River stream gages at Cottonwood Ranch, \$5,000  
182 for the Overton stream gage, and \$6,000 for weather stations; \$10,000 for as-needed  
183 maintenance of the State Channel Berm at the North Platte Chokepoint; and \$20,000 for as-  
184 needed Special Advisor services for water projects. Total Water Plan budget for 2024 is about  
185 \$3.2 million.

186  
187 Contracts for the North Platte Chokepoint Study and the Expanded Recapture Reconnaissance  
188 Study were awarded in 2023, and both studies will conclude in 2024. No new funds are  
189 anticipated beyond the current contract amounts, and it is not expected that the GC would take  
190 any immediate action on recommendations that emerge from those studies.

191  
192 Special Advisor funds allocated for either 2023 or 2024 may be used to update an economic  
193 analysis of the CNPPID irrigator lease. The EDO is reaching out to economist (and former  
194 Headwaters employee) George Oamek about doing this work.

195  
196 Mosier asked about the cost for the recapture well project under WPRT-4. Turner said it  
197 includes some fixed annual costs such as easement payments to private property owners on  
198 whose land some of the wells are located, but the annual electricity costs still remain uncertain.  
199 Once we have more time operating the project, and electricity costs are better known, it is  
200 expected that the overall budget for the project will be less in future years.

201  
202 Altenhofen inquired about expenditures for water in 2023. Turner said most of the recharge  
203 water is now prepaid, and the Program had not yet been billed for the CPNRD and NPPD surface  
204 water leases, which were lower volumes than previous years. Total for 2023 will probably be



205 less than \$1.5 million. Farnsworth added that the unit costs are fixed each year, so expenditures  
206 come down to volumes diverted or leased.

207

208 **WY2024 EA Annual Operating Plan (AOP):** *Mark Porath, USFWS*

209 The WY2024 EA AOP was reviewed at the Fall EAC/RCC meeting on October 18 and was  
210 included in the meeting materials for the WAC meeting. Porath described the EA releases that  
211 are high priorities for USFWS in 2024, including the germination suppression release (targeting  
212 1,500 cfs at Grand Island from June 1-30) and a spring whooping crane release. Winter  
213 snowpack and Lake McConaughy storable natural inflows will be monitored closely to help  
214 guide decision-making when those releases get closer, particularly for the spring whooping crane  
215 release.

216

217 Turner added that the water supply in the EA is very good right now because of a smaller-than-  
218 expected germination suppression release in 2023 and near-maximum yields from the Pathfinder  
219 accounts that were delivered in June and September. If no EA releases were made in 2024, the  
220 EA volume at the end of the water year could be greater than 190,000 AF (nearing the 200,000  
221 AF maximum) given projected non-irrigation season storable natural inflows and assuming  
222 average Pathfinder deliveries in 2024. The USFWS priority releases are therefore highly likely  
223 but release magnitudes will depend on conditions at the time.

224

225 **Additional Business:** *Cory Steinke, 2023 WAC Chair*

226 The 2024 WAC meetings are scheduled for February 6, May 7, August 6, and October 29.

227 Turner said he would send placeholder meeting invites before the end of the year.

228

229 Altenhofen raised the issue of Nebraska's ongoing study of the proposed Perkins County Canal  
230 and recommended several references for WAC members to review relating to relevant sections  
231 of the Program Document, the State Depletions Plans, and recent activities in Colorado and  
232 Nebraska. It was suggested by others that this may be a political topic better suited to GC  
233 discussion, but Altenhofen emphasized the relevance to the WAC because of technical and  
234 operational aspects of the Perkins project that could potentially impact Program water projects  
235 including Colorado's water contributions to the Program. Altenhofen also asserted that if  
236 Perkins County Canal were to have Program benefits, it would need to be scored as a Program  
237 water project. Other specific items noted and questions raised by Altenhofen included the  
238 following:

239

- 240 • The State of Nebraska maintains an informative and regularly-updated Perkins County  
241 Canal website.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://dnr.nebraska.gov/perkins-county-canal>



- 242 • Program Document<sup>2</sup> (PDF pages 37-39), discusses Depletions Plans for new water  
243 related activities (e.g., Perkins County Canal) and mitigation requirements for impacts to  
244 target flows and other Program water projects (e.g., Colorado’s Tamarack Project).  
245 • Program Document (PDF page 74), refers to the Program’s “Good Neighbor Policy” and  
246 specifies that “All lands and water will be acquired from willing sellers or lessors.” This  
247 implies that condemnation cannot be used for Program water projects. Nebraska has  
248 indicated Program benefits from the Perkins County Canal. At town hall meetings held  
249 by Colorado in Julesburg and Sterling in September, at least one attendee reported  
250 hearing of eminent domain from Nebraska representatives. Is that appropriate in the  
251 context of these Program policies?  
252 • A recent Nebraska Supreme Court decision<sup>3</sup> (page 329) related to a new Nebraska  
253 diversion from the Platte River refers to instream flow rights as “state-protected flows  
254 under the Program” and states that Nebraska DNR “...cannot allow new uses of the Platte  
255 River unless adverse effects on state-protected flows are either prevented or offset.”  
256 Would that provision apply to the Perkins County Canal?  
257

258 Altenhofen concluded with the hope that Nebraska and their consultant (HDR) will soon address  
259 these issues in forthcoming reports and in discussions with the WAC and GC. Farnsworth added  
260 that the GC will eventually need to address the Perkins County Canal from a policy perspective  
261 given potential Program benefits, the interstate compact, and other issues. Schellpeper said there  
262 were no specific updates from Nebraska DNR but referred to the website for more information.  
263

#### 264 **Action Items**

##### 265 General WAC

- 266 • N/A

##### 267 ED Office

- 268 • Send placeholder meeting invites for 2024 WAC meetings.

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://platteriverprogram.org/document/final-platte-river-recovery-implementation-program-full-document-appendices>

<sup>3</sup> <https://law.justia.com/cases/nebraska/supreme-court/2023/s-23-028.html>